

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/732,948	12/07/2000	Michael Wray	B-4052 618408-0	2780
7590 04/21/2005			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			WRIGHT, NORMAN M	
P.O. BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	J 0002   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1	·	2134	
			DATE MAILED: 04/21/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Comment	09/732,948	WRAY, MICHAEL
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Norman M. Wright	2134
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI e, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 L	December 2004	
	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	•	•
Disposition of Claims	·	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application	1.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) 12 and 13 is/are with		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 14-30</u> is/are rejected.		·
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	ar alactica requirement	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	,	, , ,
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received	
2. Certified copies of the priority document		application No.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		· · · ———
application from the International Burea		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received.
		V). 41171
•		NORMAN M WRIGH PRIMARY EXAMINE
Attachment(s)	🗖	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of I	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/732,948 Page 2

Art Unit: 2134

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claims 1-11 and 14-30, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. As to claims 1, it recites a computer readable memory storing an electronic certificate that has certain attributes. As such it is not believed to be a data structure, as no data structure has been recited. It is not believed to be a method or process claim since applicant argues dependent claim 10 concurrently with apparatus claim 11.

  Accordingly, claims 1-10 are believed to be a memory device claim, consisting of a memory storing either functional or non-functional descriptive material. As such, it fails to distinguish over any other computer memory storing a certificate.
- 4. As per claim 11, applicant has recited an apparatus for generating an electronic certificate having a data handling and signature arrangements. These features of the apparatus claim fails to distinguish from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function, see MPEP 2114. Specifically, there only appears to be a recitation that the engine be implemented by a general purpose computer having memory and appropriate programming, see aapa page 11, 1<sup>st</sup> para.
- 5. Similarly, clams 19 and 25, recite a reduction engine for verifying or finding a trusted chain of attributes. Claims 1,11, 19 and 25 all appear to be reciting either a

Art Unit: 2134

device or an apparatus claim that fails to distinguish over the prior art in terms of structure rather than function, accordingly, the metes and bounds of the claims are not clearly determinable. Correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-9, 11, 14-17, and 19-23, 25-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admitted prior art, hereinafter 'aapa'.

As per claims 1-9, 11, 14-17, AAPA teach a digitally signed certificated having: content data, identified issuer/data handling arrangement, a certificate subject, a electronic signature/signature arrangement, a condition requiring a particular/generic subject, a particular attribute, attribute delegation, cert subject specifically identified, particular subject implicitly and explicitly identified, multiple conditions, predetermined logical relationship, both explicitly and implicitly suggested, and validity data. See aapa

Art Unit: 2134

figure 1-4, page 2, lines 5 et seq., page 3, lines 1-7 et seq., page 6, lines 2 et seq., page 3, lines 10-20, page 4, line 1-3, and page 6, line 27 et seq. AAPA teaches that certificate content for a particular subject may be inferred or explicitly transferred between particular subjects, which have a predetermined logical relationship see the background of the invention. Moreover the relationship may be implied or explicitly as taught at aapa pages 2-4 et seq. A condition in aapa is that the particular party must be able to respond to the challenge —response transaction by knowing the key pair used to encrypt the data. Not explicitly taught is the certificate being stored in a memory.

It is inherent that the SPKI certificate of figures 1-4 are stored in a computer readable memory because, computer systems that utilized electronic certificates must buffer the certificates before they can be utilized in a computer system. This is the convention in the data processing arts; moreover, the use of computer readable memories are utilized in data processing systems for holding data and programs that the computer system needs to accomplish its programming.

Similarly claims 19-23, 25-29, use the terms reduction/trust discovery engine, trust chain verifier/builder, and trust chain branch control are defined in the disclosure as a means of proving a trust relationship, via branches or trust chains being built from justifying certificates. Utilizing the delegated rules and names to establish such relationships, either from a known trusted chain or at the end of the trusted chain, see disclosure page 9 et seq.. In this instant the engine general-purpose compute is utilized to effect the verification and authorization of trusted chain links, more particularly the certificate content management of trust from one link to another, page 11, lines 1-5 et

seq.. Additionally, the process functions the same regardless of what the engine or computer and its associated routines are labeled.

# Claim Objections

7. Claims 10, 18 and 24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim.

## Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 12/6/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Arguments are most in view of new grounds of rejection, recited above.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Norman M. Wright whose telephone number is (703) 305-9586. The examiner can normally be reached on 5/4/9 compressed week.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Morse can be reached on (703) 308-4789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/732,948 Page 6

Art Unit: 2134

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Norman M. Wright Primary Examiner Art Unit 2134